expected being government of the people and by the people but rather a stiff yet sane military system. This is simply because the military naturally commands respect and fear that is enough to make everyone do what is right while the nation develops without people marginalizing one another in an impatient bid to get maximum share of survival.

During some past military regime, strong measures were taken against indiscipline which may not have really been effective in a civilian system; since the people themselves will criticize their own elected as being too harsh and for the fear of not being reelected the right things won't be done.

It may be argued that the military does not give room for checks and balances but the question is of what difference then is the civilian where although checks and balances are in place but never effective. Take for instance, many public office holders have been tried many times for corruption, misuse of power and other offences; but majority of such cases ended up being covered, totally rendering the so called checks and balances system useless.

It may be defended that majority of developments in Nigeria are carried out by the military regime simply because they stayed so long in government while the civilians are busy running after during their own stay/tenure in office.

Democracy is also refer as the government of the people for the people and by the people but do we really have democracy or illegal military rule? When people cannot even vote their desired candidates willingly but by the fear of guns, cutlasses and machetes from political thugs and hooligans, when snatching of ballot boxes at gun point is the order of the day. I call that illegal military rule, so why not just allow the actual military rule by the men to rule us until we practice real democracy.

In Nigeria, civilian administrations have been overrated! They’ve shown themselves to be more corrupt and licentious than the military. They personalized the rule of law and corrupted the judiciary more than the military could ever imagine. Between 1999 count down, more money have been stolen by the executive and mismanaged by the Parliament than at any time in the history of our country (NIGERIA).

In what ways are the civilians better off than the military? No civilian administration can claim superior performance. None! At least, not when you’ve seen what Nigeria has become. And also, not when you know that every civilian leader, since 1999, has been nothing but a categorical nothing and unworthy of the Nigerian presidency.

In the final analysis, what we want is a compassionate, considerate and competent leader: the type that lets his people knows, in words and in deeds that he cares. That he understands their pain and sufferings and assuring them that he will walk the walk with them. And truly does!

Thirteen long years and counting: we have not had a leader that engenders enduring hope and possibilities and who is not awash in trivialities and reckless spending and who is not taken or sucked in by the trappings of his office. It wouldn’t matter if such person is a man or woman, even though it is a civilian or military officer.