Dr. Justin O. Wyss-Gallifent

Math 241 Chapter 15

§15.1 Vector Fields

- 1. Define a vector field: Assigns a vector to each point in the plane or in 3-space. Can be visualized as loads of arrows. Can represent a force field or fluid flow both are useful.
- 2. Two important definitions. Often before I do these I define $\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{k}$ so that gradient, divergence and curl all make sense with how ∇ is used.
 - (a) The divergence $\nabla \cdot \overline{F} = M_x + N_y + P_z$ gives the net fluid flow in/out of a point (very small ball).
 - (b) The curl $\nabla \times \overline{F}$ gives the axis of rotation of the fluid at a point.
- 3. For a function f we saw the gradient ∇f is a VF. In fact it's a special kind of VF. Any VF which is the gradient of a function f is conservative and the f is a potential function. There are two facts to note:
 - (a) If \overline{F} is conservative then $\nabla \times \overline{F} = \overline{0}$ and consequently if $\nabla \times \overline{F} \neq \overline{0}$ then \overline{F} is not conservative. Moreover if $\nabla \times \overline{F} = \overline{0}$ and \overline{F} is defined for all (x, y, z) then \overline{F} is conservative.
 - (b) If we have \overline{F} we can tell if it's conservative by the above method and we can ind be potential function too using the iterative method. Make sure to do 2-verified and 5-variable cases.

§15.2 Line Integrals (of Functions and of VFs)

- 1. If C is a curve and f gives the density analy public then we can define the line integral of f over/on C, denoted $\int_C f \, ds$, as the tata mass of C. We evaluate the parametrizing C as $\bar{r}(t)$ on [a, b] and then $\int_C f \, ds = \int_0^b f(x_1 x_2 y(t), \bar{z}(t)) ||\bar{r}'(t)|| dt$. The result is independent of the parametrization and the methatron. Simple units: C in cm, f in g/an and the result in g.
- 2. If C is the path of an object through a force field \bar{F} then we can define the line integral of \bar{F} over/on C, denoted $\int_C \bar{F} \cdot d\bar{r}$, as the total work done by \bar{F} as it traverses C. The most basic way to evaluate it is by parametrizing C as $\bar{r}(t)$ on [a, b] and then $\int_C \bar{F} \cdot d\bar{r} = \int_a^b \bar{F}(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \cdot \bar{r}'(t) dt$. Some notes about line integrals of vector fields:
 - (a) The orientation (direction) of C matters. If -C is the same curve in the opposite direction then $\int_{-C} \bar{F} \cdot d\bar{r} = -\int_{C} \bar{F} \cdot d\bar{r}$. This makes sense for work done.
 - (b) The parametrization in that direction doesn't matter.
 - (c) There is alternate notation for this integral. We can write $\int_C M \, dx + N \, dy + P \, dz$ which means the same as $\int_C (M \,\hat{i} + N \,\hat{j} + P \,\hat{k}) \cdot d\bar{r}$. Watch out for things like $\int_C M \, dx$ which looks deceivingly like a regular integral.

Sample units: C in cm, \overline{F} in $g \cdot cm/s$ (dynes) and the result in $g \cdot cm^2/s^2$ (ergs).