

REVISION NOTES

* TERM 1, TOPIC 9: RHS ENDOGENOUS VARIABLES *

This topic includes:

CONTROLLING FOR ENDOGENEITY

- REGRESSION DISCONTINUITY
- RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIALS
- IV
 - ASSUMPTION OF INSTRUMENT EXOGENEITY
 - ASSUMPTION OF INSTRUMENT RELEVANCE

DETECTING ENDOGENEITY: HAUSMAN-WU TEST

Explanatory variables are *not* given so everything we have done so far with regressions is wrong. It was far too simple to say that years of schooling affected wages because we choose the years of schooling we want, we choose the type of job we want, we choose how long we work, etc. Thus, we need something more realistic.

Consider the model of exam performance y_{1i} :

$$y_{1i} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \underbrace{\text{lecturesatt}_i}_{y_{2i} \text{ (choice/endogenous)}} + \beta_2 \underbrace{\text{female}_i}_{x_{1i} \text{ (exogenous)}} + \beta_3 \underbrace{\text{alevel}_i}_{x_{2i} \text{ (exogenous)}} + \varepsilon_{1i}$$

- If $\beta_1 = 0$, then essentially there is no effect of lecture attendance on exam performance, so you may as well not show up at all
- Instead, if $\beta_1 = \text{very positive}$, then we are saying there is much value added from lectures
- ε_{1i} includes all the indeterminable data for which we have no dataset but still affects performance, e.g. $\varepsilon_{1i} = (\text{effort}_i, \text{poorgraspoflang}_i, \text{ability}_i)$ – more effort and ability will lead to higher grades whilst the more disassociated you are with England the worse grades you will get

Consider the model of lectures attended y_{2i} :

$$\underbrace{\text{lecturesatt}_i}_{y_{2i} \text{ (choice/endogenous)}} = \delta_0 + \delta_1 \underbrace{\text{extracurr}_i}_{\text{endogenous}} + \delta_2 \underbrace{\text{dist}_i}_{\text{endogenous}} + \delta_3 \underbrace{\text{9am}_i}_{\text{endogenous}} + \delta_4 \underbrace{\text{wed}_i}_{\text{exogenous}} + \delta_5 \underbrace{\text{health}_i}_{\text{exogenous}} + \delta_6 \underbrace{\text{fem}_i}_{\text{exogenous}} + \varepsilon_{2i}$$