Regarding the public spending, it can be claimed that Bahrain is considered to be one of the most efficient state, which use its products and services more wisely, while on the other hand, Egypt had been ranked the 2nd state among the 4 countries. As well, Israel had been recognized as the most inefficient state (IMF & OECD, Expense (% of GDP), 2016). Changes in the public spending is can be illustrated in Figure 5

## **Findings**

Throughout the analysis it had been realized as follow. Firstly, there is a negative relationship between the balance of the government inflation and the national savings. Secondly, there is a positive relation between the amount of public spending and the savings. Thirdly, the main difference among Egypt, Israel Shawain, and United Arab Emirates is based on the deployment of the national finantial resources. Fourthly, Egypt is the only one amount four countries the depend on foreign debts for the fulfillment of the national financial obligations. Fifthly, there is a positive relationship between national rate of investments and the national savings on the long-run. Sixthly, there is a positive relation between the privatization and the rate of national savings. Seventhly, there is a negative relation between the subsidies, social programs, and secondary public services and national savings.

2010	30.274539	17.952863	21.740738	43.41412

## Cash surplus/deficit (% of GDP)

				-
Year	Bahrain	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Israel	
2000	7.49196		-3.890266	
2001	3.9732696		-6.048625	
2002	-0.792448	-6.745315	-7.293939	
2003	1.7746791	-5.816287	-7.459577	
2004	4.5181856	-5.61055	-5.633442	
2005	6.5481587	-6.448858	-4.728646	
2006	3.9884163	-7.168496	-2.303738	
2007	3.3636833	-4.557962	-1.204806	
2008	5.4826121	-6.360791	-3.24048	UK
2009	-4.377044	-6.562963	1073214	
2010	-4.779488	-7.7139416	-4.433663	

	2009	-4.37	7044	-0.302903		C2101214	
	2010		79488 -7,7		<u> </u>	-4.433663	
- Expense			OU	, ,	3 of	14	
pre'	Yea	ir 🗩	39	<b>5</b>	Egypt,	Israe	el
		•			Arab Rep.		
	200	0	19.902	2261		43.9	09148
	200	1	20.841	147		45.8	25396
	200	2	23.088	8667	27.24835	46.8	21289
	200	3	20.866	288	27.332455	45.5	93682
	200	4	19.369	142	26.890377	43.2	22956
	200	5	17.313	595	27.388858	42.4	18707
	200	6	16.289	685	32.763672	40.9	78137
	200	7	16.005	424	29.335231	39.0	5307
	200	8	16.217	782	30.318204	38.6	89478
	200	9	19.762	2498	30.077125	38.7	44471
	201	0	19.467	'553	28.860252	38.0	97634