- The 'problem of Germany' is back, a threatening country in fighting great power, a power threat that is ludicrous
- Creates problems for Europe
- Inevitable conflict with China, economic dependence due to its economic role, must secure this role politically etc. China cannot rise peacefully
- Multipolarity is awful, cold war =stable

- Eagle Rules
  - 'Hegemonic stability theory', the hegemon is stable..... (USA)
  - US dominance is so extreme that it realistically can never be challenged
  - Others will try contain it, power circling
  - Everyone bandwagons, everyone helps amplify the initial world order (realist variant)
  - (Liberal variant) also about institutions, US has creates a set of these that are easy to join, useful for all, and hard to overturn.
  - These also amplify US power, reinforcing hegemony
  - Even if the US becomes less owner these institutions will live on, thus liberal order of the US is also here to stay
- The end of the State
  - 'the new medievalist' Susan Strange
  - Retreat of the state, it is being attacked from below. Non-state actors, transnational actors
  - Attacked from above: institutions (greece controlled by WB) global governance- drives power away from states, markets- globalisation, thus cannot control econ policies
  - Limiting abilities of states
  - Rodrick: the globalisation paradox: must pick between democracy, global markets, or states (2 choices)
- The four approaches: what do they each say about the end of the CW?