were working as teams; adhere to project completion and success. Most of the participants were those employees which were working in teams. Furthermore, the participating teams from these companies greatly differed. Their primary work assignments ranged from short-term projects to long-term projects. The questionnaire was sent by e-mail, as electronic surveys allow the transmission of more information, they support a better interaction between the researchers and the respondents, and they contribute to a better quality of information, to a faster response cycle and to a reduction in research costs and also the data was collected using self administered questionnaire to give a better understanding to the respondent about the survey. 165 questionnaires were received, out of which 140 were considered valid, thus an 80.0 % final response rate.

Measures/ Scales

Measuring Project Success

This measure assessed the success of a project Owas measured using the scale of Pinto and Prescott. The scale consists of 9 it was Responses we can a five-point scale (ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to strongly agree). Some of the sample items are "Project schedules were adhere to.", "The project was lot managed so as to satisfy the interests and challenges of the members of the project team.", "Technical problems were successfully identified and resolved." The reliability of this scale was 0.774.

Measuring Team Dynamics

This measure refers to the collaborated dynamics among project team members. Team dynamics was measured using a scale by J.S. Lurey, M.S. Raisinghani. The scale was related to team/ group dynamics. It concentrated on the specific information about the team and how it was formed, the characteristics of the job and how people were selected to be the member of this team, team member relations within the team and team's process. It was a 5 point likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly disagree) to 5 (Strongly agree). One of the sample items is "Team

1. GENDER	1.2643	.44254	1					
2. EDUCATION	3.6786	1.15844	044	1				
3. AGE	1.2643	.44254	.375	.027	1			
4. PS_Mean	3.8444	.39330	.050	028	074	1(.774)		
5. TD_Mean	3.8194	.35400	.050	026	080	.980***	1(.941)	
6. PSS_Mean	3.8512	.35344	.048	027	077	.093***	.994***	1(.935)

***. Correlation is significant at the 0.001 level (2-tailed)

Alpha reliability of each variable is shown in parenthesis ().

Total Sample Size, n=140

Hierarchical regression is the most common method to test moderation models. Results for moderated hierarchical regression analyses are shown in Table 2. Step 1 and Steps 2 controlled the influences of the independent variable-TD and the moderating variable-PSS respectively; and Step 3 tested whether TD interacted with PSS to affect a percentage (PS). TD and PSS were centered for the interaction term and the regression. As presented in Step 3, the interaction term of TD and PSS was significant (Bett 1.562, p = 0.000), suggesting that PSS could moderate the relationship between TD and PS. The direction of the moderating effect is depicted in Fig. 1. PSS placing strengthen the regreat has between TD and PS.

Table 2 for moderated hierarchical regression

	PS	
В	\mathbb{R}^2	R ² Change
	.947***	.947
1.083		
	.961***	.014***
1.192		
	.969***	.008***
.562		
	1.083 1.192	B R ² .947*** 1.083 .961*** 1.192 .969***

11. I find that I am challenged by my work. 12. My job gives me the opportunity to to develop my knowledge and skills. 13. I am able to add value to team's work. 14. Team members were selected based on their individual talents and abilities to contribute to the team.
skills. 13. I am able to add value to team's work. 1 2 3 4 14. Team members were selected based on their individual talents and abilities to contribute to the team.
14. Team members were selected based on their individual talents and abilities to contribute to the team.
abilities to contribute to the team.
15. When selected, team members were teachnically competent with the tools we use to perform our work and interact with each one another.
16. Team members were selected simply because they were not otherwise 1 2 3 4 committed and were available to work on this project.
Question 17-25 ask about the team member relations within your team
17. Team members were given the opportunity to meet each other in person early on in the team's development.
18. During the team's first meeting, some time was dedicated to discussing team's purpose and goals. 19. During the team's first meeting, some time was dedicated to the contact of the c
19. During the team's first meeting, some time was dedicated to the team 1 2 3 4 building exercises such as meeting individual team merchan that ing effective team communication, and/or discussing of first resolution.
20. I rely upon other team members to complete thy assigned work. 1 2 3 4
21. Team members trill one another and will consult each other if they 1 2 3 4 need support.
22. Team members experience a sense of shared goals and objectives 1 2 3 4
23. Knowledge and information sharing is understood to be a group norm 1 2 3 4 within team.
24. Our team is very cohesive unit. 1 2 3 4
25. When disagreements occur, they usually addressed promptly in order to solve them.
Question 26-41 ask about the team's process
26. Face to face team meeting are held whenever possible so people can discuss things together.
27. Time is dedicated to developing social relations as well as addressing 1 2 3 4 projects issues during these face to face meetings
28. Team members regularly use phone and/ or on-line computer 1 2 3 4 conferences to share ideas.
29. Time is dedicated to developing social relations as well as addressing projects issues during these electronic conferences