Concepts	Description				
Conital hudgetine	Capital Budgeting				
Capital budgeting process	Process of identifying and evaluating capital projects (projects where CF to firm will be received over a period longer than a year)				
Categories of capital budgeting projects 5 Principles of capital budgeting	I. Replacement projects to maintain the business: - no need for detailed analysis - issue: should the existing operations continue; and should the existing processes be maintained 2. Replacement projects for cost reduction: - Whether obsolete usable equipment should be replaced - Fairly detailed analysis is required 3. Expansion projects: - To expand a business - Require explicit forecast of future demand → involve complex decision-making process 4. New product / market development: - Complex decision-making process, with detailed analysis, due to high level of uncertainty 5. Mandatory projects: - Required by gov. agency / insurance company - involve safety-related / envi concerns - generate minimum revenue, but accompany new revenue-generating projects undertaken by the company 6. Other projects 1. Decision based in CF, not accounting income - Sunk cost: not include				
	- Exernalities (effect of the project acceptance on firm's other CF) : include 2. CF are based on opportunities costs - Opportunities cost : include 3. Timing of CF is important 4. CF analysed on after-tax basis 5. Financing cost are reflected in project's required rate of return				
Expansion project - Method to calculate yearly CF Replacement project - Method to calculate yearly CF	1. Initial investment outlay : up-front costs of the project Initial investment outlay = Fix cost investment (FCInv) + Net Working Capital investment (NWCInv) (*) NWCInv must be included, because additional inventories are required to generate additional sales; ↑ sales → ↑ AR; ↑ Inventory → ↑ AP NWCInv = ΔNon-cash current assets - ΔNon-debt current liabilities = ΔNWC NWCInv > 0 → require additional financing → cash outflow to fund net investment in current assets (and vice versa) End of project → no need for additional WC → cash inflow (outflow) equal to initial NWC 2. After-tax operating CF : Incremental CF over capital asset' economic life CF = (S - C - D) × (1 - T) + D = (S - C) × (1 - T) + T × D S - Sales C = Cash operating costs D = Depreciation expense T = Marginal tax rate (*) Depreciation : non-cash operating expense, but reduce the two parts and by final 3. Terminal year after-tax non-operating CF (* OCf) TNOCF = Sal + NWCInv - T × [Sale, BI * Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale				
Effect of inflation on capital budgeting analysis	TNOCF = (Sal _{t New} - Sal _{t Old}) + NWCInv - T × [(Sal _{t New} - B _{t New}) - (Sal _{t Old} - B _{t Old})] 1. Analysing nominal / real CF : should match type of CF with the discount rate (Nominal CF - Nominal discount ratee; Real CF - Real discount rate) 2. Changes in inflation affect project profitability : Inflation higher than expected → ↓ future CF → ↓ project's value 3. Inflation reduce tax saving from depreciation 4. Inflation decrease the payment value to bondholders 5. Inflation affect sales and cost differently : due to price of output goods change differently to prices of inputs → after-tax Cf may be better or worse				
Mutually exclusive projects with different lives	2 approaches : 1. Least common multiple of lives approach 2. Equivalent annual annuity (EAA) approach (compare annual payment)				
Capital rationing Sensitivity analysis	Capital rationing: allocaion of fixed amount of capital among a set of available projects amaximise shareholder wealth. Choose combination of projects it can afford to have the greatest total NPV Hard capital rationing: fund alocated to managers cannot be increased Soft capital rationing: allow to ncrease allocated capital budget if can justify that additional funds will create shareholder value Sensitivity analysis: changing an independent input variables to see the change in dependent variable - Start with base case scenario - Change 1 variable by a fixed % point above and below the base case				
Scenario analysis	- Noting the effect of this change on the project NPV Scenario analysis: risk analysis technique, that consider both the sensitivity of key output variable (NPV) to changes in key input variables - Allow for changes in multiple input variables at once - Create Worst case, Best case and Base case				

Valuing a target company - Comparable transactions	Step 2 : Calculate va	et of takeover transactions - involve firms in same industr arious relative value measures based on completed deal p nean/median/range for chosen relative value measures; a	prices for sample transactions		
Compare between DCF /		DCF	Comparable company	Comparable transaction	
Comparable company / Comparable transaction analysis	<u>Advantages</u>	- Easy to model any changes in target's CF due to synergy or change in cost structure - Estimate of company value based on forecast of future fundamental condition rather than current data - Easy to customise	- Data of comparable companies is easy to access - Assumption that similar assets have similar values is fundamentally sound - Estimates of value are directly from the market, rather than assumptions/estimates about the future	- No need to estimate separate takeover premium - Derived directly from recent completed deals, rather than assumptions/estimates about the future - Reduce the risk of lawsuit from target's	
	<u>Disadvantages</u>	 Difficult to apply when CF are negative Estimates of CF and earnings are highly subject to error Discount rate changes over time, and have large impact on valuation estimate Majority of target's estimated value is terminal value, which is highly sensitive to estimates used for constant growth and discount rate → estimation error is a major concern 	may not be timely \rightarrow not reflect current condition in	shareholders against target's managers and BOD for mispricing the deal - Assume value of past transactions is accurate. If past transactions are overpriced / underpriced → carry over to the estimated value of the target - May not be enough of comparable transaction to develop a reliable set of data to calculate the estimated target value - Hard to take into account the effect of synergy or change in capital structure	
Post merger value of acquirer	$V_{A+T} = V_A + V_T +$	Synergy — Cash paid to target			
	In which: $V_{A+T} = post\ merger\ value\ of\ combined\ company\ (Acquirer + Target)$ $V_A = Pre\ merger\ value\ of\ acquirer$ $V_T = Pre\ merger\ value\ of\ target$				
Gain of the Target	$Gain_T = Takeove$ In which: $P_T = Price\ paid\ t$ $V_T = Value\ of\ tar$	r $premium = P_T - V_T$ o $target$ get	1 a C	o.uk	
Gain of the Acquirer	$Gain_A = Synergy$	$-Takeover\ premium = Synergy - (P_T - V_T)$	-210·V		
	2. Stock offer : Prof $P_T = N \times PPS_{A+}$ In which : $P_T = Price \ paid$ $N = num \qquad 0$ $P \leq T = 0 \leq 1$	it of target's shareholders is determined by values on bin T to Target why where the target receives be ger price per share to allow the	12 of 12		
Post merger studies	In which: $P_T = Price \ paid \ to \ target$ $V_T = Value \ of \ target$ $V_T = Value$				
Characteristics of M&A transactions that create value	- Reason : due to failure to capture promised synergies 1. Strong buyer : Acquirer shows strong performance (i.e.: earnings ; stock price growth) in the prior 3 years 2. Low takeover premium 3. Few bidders → Greater acquirer's future returns 4. Favorable market reaction				
Divestitures / Equity carve-out / Spin-offs / Spit-offs / Liquidations	1. Divestitures: A company selling / liquidating / spinning off a division or subsidiary, mostly to outside buyer 2. Equity carve-out: Create a new, independent legal, with separate management team, by giving an equity interest in a sub to outside shareholders (issued in a public offering). 3. Spin-offs: Create a new, independent legal, with separate management team, by distributing sub's shares to the parent's shareholders proportionately→ Same shareholders with the Parent company 4. Split-offs: Allow shareholders to receive a new shares of a division of the Parent, in exchange for a portion of their shares in the parent company 5. Liquidations: Break up the firm and sell its assets separately. Mostly associated with bankcruptcy				
Common reasons for restructuring	2. Lack of profitabil - Reason 1 : mana - Reason 2 : Divis 3. Individual parts a	er fits into management's LT strategy: unable to make pro ity: Division's return < Firm's cost of capital agement made a bad decision to enter the division at the ion's profitability declines over time due to rising costs / our worth more than the whole (reverse synergy) Parent company experiences financing difficulty -> selling	first place or change in customers' preference		