Concepts	Description		
	Integration of FS Analysis techniques		
Framework for analysis of FS	Main purpose of FS analysis : identify outcomes (good / bad) that could affect an investment decision		
	Framework for analysis of FS: used in making decisions abt EQT ownership interet in firm, leding decision, evaluate credit rating, anticipate impact of change in accounting standards on		
Framework for analysis of FS - 6		Input	Output
steps	Step 1 : Establish the	Parameetive of analysis (a.g., evaluating dobt / EQT investment / issuing credit rating)	Durnosa statement
	objectives	 Perspective of analysis (e.g.: evaluating debt / EQT investment / issuing credit rating) Needs / concerns of client / supervisor 	 Purpose statement Specific questions to be answered
	-	- Institutional guideline	- Nature / content of final report
		- institutional guideline	- Budget timetable and resources
		- FS	budget timetable and resources
	Step 2 : Collect data	- Communication with management, suppliers, customers, competitors	- Organised financial information
			-
		- Data from step 2	
	Step 3 : Process data		- Adjusted FS
			- Common size statements
			- Ratios
			- Forecasts
		Data from step 2 & 3	
	Step 4 : Analyse data		- Results
		- Result from analysis	
	Step 5 : develop &	- Published report guidelines	- Report answering questions stated in Step 1
	communication	David disally undated information	- Recommendations
	Stop 6 : Follow up	- Periodically updated information	- Updated analysis and recommendations
	Step 6 : Follow up		- Opuated analysis and recommendations
FR choices and biases and impact	1. Sources of earnings and R	OE .	
on the quality and comparability of	DuPont equation: ROE = Tax burden x Interest burden x EBIT margin x Total asset turnover x Financial leverage		
FS	→ identify performance driver, weaker areas that are covered by other stronger areas		
	Consider firm's source of income internally generated (more control) vs externally generated (income generated by ownership of interest in associate - less control) → eliminate equity		
	income to analyse the performance from the firm's own asset base		
	2. Asset base: Require test of changes in composition of BS assets over time (commonly in a common-size BS)		
	and the control of th		
	3. Capital structure: Must be able to support the strategic objectives + meet the future obligations		
	income to analyse the performance from the firm's own asset base 2. Asset base: Require test of changes in composition of BS assets over time (commonly in a common-size BS) 3. Capital structure: Must be able to support the strategic objectives + meet the future obligations - Analyse thru financial leverage ratios - Some liabilities are more burdensome than others (e.g.: Financial and bond liabilities default to the common of the		
	restructuring provisions are less burdensome, and may not require future cash of the		
	4. Capital allocation :		
	- Conso FS could hide individual characteristies of rise min rubs > quire to separate financial is not by sum ints (portion accounts for > 10% of revenues or assets; different risks and		
returns from other lines of business			accounts for a 20% of revenues of assets , amerene fishs and
	- Conso FS could hide individual characteristics of disconner hips > Equire to separate financial in the by such ints (portion accounts for > 10% of revenues or assets; different risk returns from other lines of business - Valuable to identify: + each segment of the boson are venue and profit + rolation disconner example and rate of return.		
+ this sement should be de-emphasized / en anate			
	- Ratios : + % CAPEX / % assets for each segment > 0 → growing segment by allocating more assets → more significant proportion over time, and vice versa + Comparing EBIT margin of each segment with % CAPEX / % assets → determine whether the firm is investing in its most profitable segment		
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
	' Note : Accrual-based measures (EBIT) might not be a good indicator of the ability to generate CF → estimated CF = EBIT + Depreciation + Amortisation		
Effect of MS modification, earning	1. Eaning quality and CF an		
normalisation and CF modification			
on FS, financial ratios, and overall	a. BS approach :		
financial condition	Accruals		
	$Accruals \ ratios = \frac{Accruals}{(Net \ operating \ assets_{Ending} + Net \ operating \ assets_{Opening})/2}$		
	(Net operating assets _{Ending} + Net operating assets _{Opening})/ $\frac{1}{2}$		
	In which:		
	$Accruals = Net operating assets_{Ending} - Net operating assets_{Opening}$		
	Net operating assets = Operating assets — Operating liabilities		
	Net operating assets = [Total assets - (cash + cash equivalent + marketable securities)] - (Total liabilites - Total ST and LT debt) b. CF approach:		
	эт ст арргосст.		
		Accruals	NI - CFO - CFI
	$Accruals ratios = \overline{0}$	Net operating assets _{Ending} + Net operating assets _{Opening}) = $\frac{1}{\text{(Net operating assets)}}$	$E_{Ending} + Net operating assets_{Opening}$
	- Wide fluctuation → indication of earning manipulation by increasing accruals → compare CP to operating income		
	Step 2 : Compare CF to operating income		
	Cash generated from operations (CGO) EBIT + (Non - cash charges) - Increase in Working Can		
	Cash generated from operations (CGO) EBIT + (Non — cash charges) — Increase in Working Cap Step 3: Examine cash return on votal assets from acquisition Operating income Operating income		
	Operating income Operating income		
		CGO CGO	CGO
	2. Market value decomposition		
	- Parent company has ownership interest in associate → should determine the implied value of parent without % share of associate		
	- Implied value = MV of parent = parent's pro rata share of associate's MV (should convert to parent reporting currency)		
	ĺ		