

in war there are only sounds of guns being fired instead of honoring those who have fallen and more being killed by the same weapons, Wilfred Owen also shows alliteration "rifles rapid rattle". In the last paragraph Wilfred says "but in their eyes of goodbyes the pailor of girls brows shall be their pal" this shows the reaction of the families faces including wives of the soldiers to find out their loved ones have died. Wilfred communicates how depressing war is by making an effective poem that the readers can relate to. The poem brings out strong anger of the war itself and is very clear that he is an anti war poet. Wilfred makes the enemies' guns seem evil and mysterious this can give the readers to feel emotion throughout the poem. The poem gives a big look on the truth about war and the effects on young soldiers creating a horrific imagery and to us to honoring them in every way.

In conclusion these two poems adopt different stances on the same subject and I think who's for game is more effective. This is because who's for the game is an effective and imaginary poem giving it the feel and experience of war but making out as a game but as for anthem for doomed youth it describes straight into the gory of war and the effects which allot of people don't want to hear.

Whos for the game is effective and persuasive poem using propaganda encouraging young men to join the war, it produces so much persuading and jessie makes the poem look like shes talking to the reader making it more effective, she uses words carefully to make young men guilty and the imagery is fantastic as the poem is so easy to understand as the imagery was simple and spot on. Whos for the game is also very light hearted it isnt so depressing as anthem for doomed youth and makes its more energetic and people would most likely read this as its easier to understand.

Preview from Notesale.co.uk
Page 2 of 2