## **LECTURE 5**

REAL BUSINESS CYLCE THEORY CO.UK

Notesale.

Preview from Notesale.

Preview page 1 of 12

## 3.5 Optimal Household Choices With Uncertainty

In this case the lagrangean is with the expectation term:

$$\mathcal{L} = E_0 \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{t=\infty} \beta^t \left\{ u(C_t, 1 - L_t) + \lambda_{t+1} [(B_t + w_t L_t - C_t)(1 + r_{t+1}) - B_{t+1}] \right\} \right\}. \tag{49}$$

The Firs Order Condition are given by:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial C_t} = \beta^t E_t \left[ u_{1,t} - (1 + r_{t+1}) \lambda_{t+1} \right] = 0 \tag{50}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L_t} = \beta^t E_t \left[ -u_{2,t} + w_t (1 + r_{t+1}) \lambda_{t+1} \right] = 0 \tag{51}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial B_t} = \beta^t E_t [\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1}) - \beta^{t-1} \lambda_t] = 0$$
(52)

which can be rewritten as:

$$u_{1,t} = E_t[(1+r_{t+1})\lambda_{t+1}]. (53)$$

$$u_{1,t} = E_t[(1+r_{t+1})\lambda_{t+1}].$$
 (53)  
 $\lambda_t = \beta E_t[(1+r_{t+1})\lambda_{t+1}].$  (54)  
 $u_{2,t} = w_t E_t[(1+r_{t+1})\lambda_{t+1}].$  (55)  
In derive the intertemptal point conditions in the same way as before. Consider,

$$u_{2,t} = w_t E_t [(1 + r_{t+1})\lambda_{t+1}]. \tag{55}$$

$$Q_{1,t} = pE_t[(1+r_{t+1})Q_{t+1}Q_{t+1}]$$
(56)

Using again the logarithmic utility function we have:

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = \beta E_t \left[ (1 + r_{t+1}) \frac{1}{C_{t+1}} \right]. \tag{57}$$

From this point onwards things are different with respect to the certainty case, because we have that:

$$E_t\left[(1+r_{t+1})\frac{1}{c_{t+1}}\right] = E_t(1+r_{t+1})E_t\left(\frac{1}{c_{t+1}}\right) + cov\left(1+r_{t+1},\frac{1}{c_{t+1}}\right). \tag{58}$$

Using equation (58) in equation (57):

$$E_t\left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}}\right) = \frac{1 - c_t \beta cov\left(1 + r_{t+1}, \frac{1}{c_{t+1}}\right)}{\beta E_t(1 + r_{t+1})}.$$
 (59)

If  $cov\left(1+r_{t+1},\frac{1}{c_{t+1}}\right)=0$  then we have the same result as in the certainty case: