

Figure 5. Interface and Systems Development Hackos and Redish [1998]

## 4.3.1 The Williges et al HCI focused life cycle approach

Figure 4 depicts a generalised flow diagram of the iterative design process for the development of the software interface, as proposed by Williges et al. [1987]. The model consists of three phases: the initial design stage in which the software interface is specified; a formative evaluation stage during which the interface evolves; and a summative evaluation stage in which the resulting system of the formative evaluation stage is tested:

— The initial design stage consists of the following six phases: determining design objectives; task-function analysis; focus on users; dialogue design guidelines; structured walk-trough; and initial design modifications (a feedback loop to refine the design (dotted line in Figure 4)).

## 7. **CONCLUSION AND PROPOSAL**

In order for IS development to stay relevant and deliver systems fitting the demand of the current business environment, our research indicates that there is a dire need for the establishment of a unified process to the development of ISs, including all of the components identified in Figure 3. In order to meet these demands the exiting models should be enhanced to bridge the usability gap and map seamlessly to contemporary business environments.

We believe that many of the shortcomings of the development models could be catered for by making the end-user of the system a primary element in the entire process, and include explicit guidelines for the inclusion of other external issues such as laws and regulations, human rights issues (including accessibility), the abilities and skills of the human resource complement of the IT department, the supplier chain and availability of technology, et cetera. We argue that in the requirements/analysis and design phases the following issues should, for example, as a minimum consideration be catered for: Corporate issues such as competition, local economic climate and local domestic environments; political climate both locally and internationally; legal issues both on national and international level; acts and regulations that could affect the business; human rights issues e.g. accessibility laws/standards, access to information, etc.; the user context (geographical, cultural, socio-economic, educational, etc.); procurement issues; et cetera.

We further argue that most of the stakeholders identified in Figure 3, should ideally be involved in the formative and summative evaluation of the proposed and delivered system. After all, if a system does not meet regulatory standards, violates human rights issues, does not meet the exact requirements of the customers, needs very specialised equipment not readily available from suppliers, is not cost effective in terms of business transactions, do not meet the data or information requirements it is intended for, and cannot be developed by means of available skills or technology, how can it be successful? If these aspects are important, they should be explicitly catered for in the systems development model. Extensive further research would be required to establish processes and procedure to achieve this.

## 8. REFERENCES

BAHRAMI, A., 1999. Object-Oriented Systems Development: Using the Unified Modeling Language. Irwin McGraw-Hill, Boston. BELINA, F AND HOGREFE, D. 1989. The CCITT-specification and description language SDL. Computer Networks and ISD systems, 16, pp

BOOCH G. 1987. Software Engineering with Ada, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Menlo Park, CA: Benjamin-Cummings.

COAD P. and YOURDON E .1991a Object-Oriented Analysis. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Yourd ss. wen Series.

COAD P. and YOURDON E. 1991b. Object-Oriented Design. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Yourdon Press C in out a Series.

DENNIS, A. and WIXOM, H. B. 2000. System Analysis and Design, John Wiley & Son, Juc Nice Const.

VANDERDONCKT J and HARNING M.B. 2003. Closing the Gaps: Softract of the pressing and Human-Computer Interaction. Interact 2003 Softy Workshop, http://www.interact2003.org/workshops/ws9-description.line
HACKOS, T. J., and REDISH, C. J. 1998. User and Task Analyse for Interface Design. New York Will y.
HARTSON, H.R, AND HIX, D. 1989. Human-computer in enuce Development: Concepts and St. Computing

Surveys 21(1): 5-92. IBM. 1990a. Developing a CUA W application-Document GG/A 358-00. Kareigh, North Carolina: IBM International Technical Support

ario-Document GG24-3566-00. Raleigh, North Carolina: IBM International IBM. 1990b. IBM. 1990b. For the Office Analysis of the PEO Control Schario-Document GG24-3500-00. Rateign, North Carollia: IBM international Technical Appen Center.

IBM. 1990c. A Practical Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming-Document GG24-3641-00. Boca Raton, Florida: IBM International Technical

Support Center.

IBM. 1999. Object-Oriented Design: A Preliminary Approach-Document GG24-3647-00. Raleigh, North Carolina: IBM International Technical Support Center

IIVARI, J. 1991. Object-oriented information systems analysis: A framework for systems analysis. IEEE Trans. pp 205-218.

JACOBSON, I. 1994. Object-Oriented Software Engineering: A use Case Driven Approach. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, Object Technology

JACKSON, M. 1983. Systems Development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

MANDEL, T. 1997. The Elements of user interface design, Wiley, NY.

MAYHEW, D. J. 1999. The Usability Engineering Lifecycle: a practitioner's handbook for user interface design, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco. MONARCHI, DE and PUHR I.G. 1992. A Research Typology for Object-Oriented Analysis and Design. Communications of the ACM, Vol. 35, No. 9, September 1992, pp. 35 - 47.

PAROZ. R.A. 1988. Southern Sotho English Dictionary. Morija Sesuto Book Depot. Morija. Lesotho.

PREECE, J., ROGERS, Y. and SHARP H. 2002. Interaction Design: Beyond human-computer interaction, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.

RICKS, A. D. 1993. Blunders in International Business, Blackwell Publishers, Cambridge, Massachusetts

ROSS, D., 1985. Application and extension of SADT. Computer 18, 4, pp 25-35.

RUMBAUGH, J., BLAHA, M., PREMERLANI, W., EDDY, F., AND LORENSEN W. 1991 Object-Oriented Modeling and Design. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

SATZINGER, J. W., JACKSON, R. B. and BURD, S. D. 2002. Systems Analysis and Design in a Changing World, Thomson Learning, Canada.

SCHACH, R.W. 2002. Object-Oriented and Classical Software Engineering, McGraw Hill, Boston.

SHELLY G,B, CASHMANT.J and ROSENBLATTH.J. 2002. Systems Analysis and Design. Thomson Learning, Canada.

THE OBJECT AGENCY. 1993. A Comparison of Object-Oriented Development Methodologies. http://www.toa.com/pub/mcr.pdf .

TURBAN, E. 1995. Decision Support and Expert Systems: Management Support Systems., Prentice-Hall, USA.

WHITTEN, L. J., BENTLEY, D. L. and DITTMAN, C. K.. 2001. Systems Analysis and Design Methods, McGraw-Hill Irwin, Boston, USA.

WILLIGES, R. C., WILLIGES, B. H. and EIKERTON, J. 1987. In Handbook of Human Factors(Ed, Salvendy, G.) John Wiley & Sons, New York,

YOURDAN, INC. 1993. Yourdan the System Method: Model-Driven Systems Development. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliff, NJ.