

Advanced Encryption Standard

The most popular and widely utilized symmetric encryption algorithm that is most likely to be employed nowadays is the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES). It can be detected at least six times faster than triple DES.

A successor was needed since DES's key size was insufficient. It was believed that as processing power rose, it would be susceptible to a thorough key search attack. Triple DES was developed to alleviate this problem, however it was found to be slow.

The features of AES are as follows –

- Symmetric key symmetric block cipher
- 128-bit data, 128/192/256 bit keys
- Stronger and faster than Triple-DES
- Provide full specification and design details
- Software implementable in C and Java

Operation of AES

AES is an iterative cipher as opposed to a Feistel one. Its foundation is a "substitution-permutation network." It consists of a number of interconnected operations, some of which substitute certain outputs for inputs (substitutions), while others require shifting bits about (permutations).

It's interesting to note that AES uses bytes rather than bits for all of its calculations. As a result, AES considers a plaintext block's

AES Analysis

In present day cryptography, AES is widely adopted and supported in both hardware and software. Till date, no practical cryptanalytic attacks against AES has been discovered. Additionally, AES has built-in flexibility of key length, which allows a degree of 'future-proofing' against progress in the ability to perform exhaustive key searches.

However, just as for DES, the AES security is assured only if it is correctly implemented and good key management is employed.

Block Cipher Modes of Operation

We will talk about a block cipher's several modes of operation in this chapter. These are the operational guidelines for a basic block cipher. It's interesting how the various modes produce various qualities that increase the security of the underlying block cipher.

Data blocks with fixed sizes are processed by block ciphers. Message sizes are frequently larger than block sizes. As a result, the lengthy message is broken up into a number of sequential message blocks, and the encryption processes each block individually.

Electronic Code Book (ECB) Mode

This mode is a most straightforward way of processing a series of sequentially listed message blocks.

Public Key Encryption

Public Key Cryptography

Unlike symmetric key cryptography, we do not find historical use of public-key cryptography. It is a relatively new concept.

Symmetric cryptography was well suited for organizations such as governments, military, and big financial corporations were involved in the classified communication.

With the spread of more unsecure computer networks in last few decades, a genuine need was felt to use cryptography at larger scale. The symmetric key was found to be non-practical due to challenges it faced for key management. This gave rise to the public key cryptosystems.

The process of encryption and decryption is depicted in the following illustration.

Preview from Notesale.co.uk
Page 14 of 40

Example

An example of generating RSA Key pair is given below. (For ease of understanding, the primes p & q taken here are small values. Practically, these values are very high).

- Let two primes be $p = 7$ and $q = 13$. Thus, modulus $n = pq = 7 \times 13 = 91$.
- Select $e = 5$, which is a valid choice since there is no number that is common factor of 5 and $(p - 1)(q - 1) = 6 \times 12 = 72$, except for 1.
- The pair of numbers $(n, e) = (91, 5)$ forms the public key and can be made available to anyone whom we wish to be able to send us encrypted messages.
- Input $p = 7$, $q = 13$, and $e = 5$ to the Extended Euclidean Algorithm. The output will be $d = 29$.
- Check that the d calculated is correct by computing –
 $de = 29 \times 5 = 145 = 1 \pmod{72}$
- Hence, public key is $(91, 5)$ and private keys is $(91, 29)$.

Encryption and Decryption

Once the key pair has been generated, the process of encryption and decryption are relatively straightforward and computationally easy.

Interestingly, RSA does not directly operate on strings of bits as in case of symmetric key encryption. It operates on numbers modulo n . Hence, it is necessary to represent the plaintext as a series of numbers less than n .

- Sender represents the plaintext as a series of numbers modulo p .
- To encrypt the first plaintext P , which is represented as a number modulo p . The encryption process to obtain the ciphertext C is as follows –
 - Randomly generate a number k ;
 - Compute two values $C1$ and $C2$, where –

$$C1 = g^k \text{ mod } p$$

$$C2 = (P * y^k) \text{ mod } p$$

- Send the ciphertext C , consisting of the two separate values $(C1, C2)$, sent together.
- Referring to our ElGamal key generation example given above, the plaintext $P = 13$ is encrypted as follows –
 - Randomly generate a number, say $k = 10$
 - Compute the two values $C1$ and $C2$, where –

$$C1 = 6^{10} \text{ mod } 17$$

$$C2 = (13 * 7^{10}) \text{ mod } 17 = 9$$

- Send the ciphertext $C = (C1, C2) = (15, 9)$.

ElGamal Decryption

- To decrypt the ciphertext $(C1, C2)$ using private key x , the following two steps are taken –
 - Compute the modular inverse of $(C1)^x$ modulo p , which is $(C1)^{-x}$, generally referred to as decryption factor.
 - Obtain the plaintext by using the following formula –

$$C2 \times (C1)^{-x} \text{ mod } p = \text{Plaintext}$$

- In our example, to decrypt the ciphertext $C = (C1, C2) = (15, 9)$ using private key $x = 5$, the decryption factor is

Also an equivalent security level can be obtained with shorter keys if we use elliptic curve-based variants.

The shorter keys result in two benefits –

- Ease of key management
- Efficient computation

These benefits make elliptic-curve-based variants of encryption scheme highly attractive for application where computing resources are constrained.

RSA and ElGamal Schemes – A Comparison

Let us briefly compare the RSA and ElGamal schemes on the various aspects.

RSA	ElGamal
It is more efficient for encryption.	It is more efficient for decryption.
It is less efficient for decryption.	It is more efficient for decryption.
For a particular security level, lengthy keys are required in RSA.	For the same level of security, very short keys are required.
It is widely accepted and used.	It is new and not very popular in market.