Kiran Kedlaya and Lenny Ng

A–1 We change to cylindrical coordinates, i.e., we put $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Then the given inequality is equivalent to

$$r^2 + z^2 + 8 \le 6r,$$

or

$$(r-3)^2 + z^2 \le 1.$$

This defines a solid of revolution (a solid torus); the area being rotated is the disc $(x-3)^2 + z^2 \le 1$ in the *xz*-plane. By Pappus's theorem, the volume of this equals the area of this disc, which is π , times the distance through which the center of mass is being rotated, which is $(2\pi)3$. That is, the total volume is $6\pi^2$.

A-2 Suppose on the contrary that the set *B* of values of *n* for which Bob has a winning strategy is finite; for convenience, we include n = 0 in *B*, and write $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$. Then for every nonnegative integer *n* not in *B*, Alice must have some move on a heap of *n* stones leading to a position in which the second player wins. That is, every nonnegative integer not in *B* can be written as b + p - 1 for some $b \in B$ and some more in *A*. However, there are numerous ways to show the othis cannot happen.

the *b* \in *B*. Then it is easy to write down *t* consecutive composite integers, e.g., $(t+1)!+2, \ldots, (t+1)!+t+1$. Take n = (t+1)!+t; then for each $b \in B$, n-b+1 is one of the composite integers we just wrote down.

Second solution: Let p_1, \ldots, p_{2m} be any prime numbers; then by the Chinese remainder theorem, there exists a positive integer *x* such that

$$x - b_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p_1 p_{m+1}}$$

...
$$x - b_n \equiv -1 \pmod{p_m p_{2m}}.$$

For each $b \in B$, the unique integer p such that x = b + p - 1 is divisible by at least two primes, and so cannot itself be prime.

Third solution: (by Catalin Zara) Put $b_1 = 0$, and take $n = (b_2 - 1) \cdots (b_m - 1)$; then *n* is composite because 3, $8 \in B$, and for any nonzero $b \in B$, $n - b_i + 1$ is divisible by but not equal to $b_i - 1$. (One could also take $n = b_2 \cdots b_m - 1$, so that $n - b_i + 1$ is divisible by b_i .)

A-3 We first observe that given any sequence of integers x_1, x_2, \ldots satisfying a recursion

$$x_k = f(x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k-n}) \qquad (k > n),$$

where n is fixed and f is a fixed polynomial of n variables with integer coefficients, for any positive integer N, the sequence modulo N is eventually periodic. This is simply because there are only finitely many possible sequences of n consecutive values modulo N, and once such a sequence is repeated, every subsequent value is repeated as well.

We next observe that if one can rewrite the same recursion as

$$x_{k-n} = g(x_{k-n+1}, \dots, x_k) \qquad (k > n)$$

where g is also a polynomial with integer coefficients, then the sequence extends uniquely to a doubly infinite sequence $\ldots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \ldots$ which is fully periodic modulo any N. That is the case in the situation at hand, because we can rewrite the given between as

$$x_{k-2} = x_{k-1} - x_{k}$$

In the sequences to find 2005 consecutive terms divisible N in the doubly infinite sequence, for any fixed N (so in acticular for N = 2006). Running the recursion backwards, we easily find

$$x_1 = x_0 = \dots = x_{-2004} = 1$$

$$x_{-2005} = \dots = x_{-4009} = 0,$$

yielding the desired result.

A-4 **First solution:** By the linearity of expectation, the average number of local maxima is equal to the sum of the probability of having a local maximum at *k* over k = 1, ..., n. For k = 1, this probability is 1/2: given the pair $\{\pi(1), \pi(2)\}$, it is equally likely that $\pi(1)$ or $\pi(2)$ is bigger. Similarly, for k = n, the probability is 1/2. For 1 < k < n, the probability is 1/3: given the pair $\{\pi(k-1), \pi(k), \pi(k+1)\}$, it is equally likely that any of the three is the largest. Thus the average number of local maxima is

$$2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + (n-2) \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{n+1}{3}.$$

Second solution: Another way to apply the linearity of expectation is to compute the probability that $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ occurs as a local maximum. The most efficient way to do this is to imagine the permutation as consisting of the symbols 1, ..., n, * written in a circle in some order. The number *i* occurs as a local maximum if the two symbols it is adjacent to both belong to the set $\{*, 1, ..., i-1\}$. There are i(i-1) pairs of such symbols and n(n-1) pairs in total, so the probability of