For illustrative purposes, we here also include a proof using the ϵ, δ -definition. Thus, let $\epsilon > 0$. We need to show that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|x-a| < \delta \implies |fg(x) - fg(a)| < \epsilon.$$

Note that by adding 0 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |fg(x) - fg(a)| &= |fg(x) - fg(a) + f(x)g(a) - f(x)g(a)| \\ &\leq |f(x)(g(x) - g(a))| + |g(a)(f(x) - f(a))| \\ &= |f(x)| |g(x) - g(a)| + |g(a)| |f(x) - f(a)| \\ &= |f(x) - f(a) + f(a)| |g(x) - g(a)| + |g(a)| |f(x) - f(a)| \\ &\leq (|f(x) - f(a)| + |f(a)|) |g(x) - g(a)| + |g(a)| |f(x) - f(a)| \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that $g(a) \neq 0$; the converse case is easier (and left as an exercise to the reader). Now, since f is continuous at a, we can find $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all x such that $|x - a| < \delta_1$, we have that $|f(x) - f(a)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|g(a)|}$. Consequently, if $|x - a| < \delta_1$, we have

$$|fg(x) - fg(a)| \le \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2|g(a)|} + |f(a)|\right)|g(x) - e^{|f|} C_{2}$$

Similarly, since g is continuous at a, we can find $a \leq 0$ such that for all x such that $|x - a| < \delta_2$, we have $|g(x) - g(a)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(\frac{\epsilon}{2|g(a)} + 1f(a))}$ then, we can let $\delta = 4\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then, for all x such that $|x - a| < \delta$, it follows that $|g(x) - fg(a)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$,

which is what we wanted to show. This completes the proof (of ii)).

The previous proposition ensures us that adding, multiplying, or dividing continuous functions results in continuous functions. It is also a very important result that composing continuous functions results in continuous functions. We next give a precise statement and a proof for this:

Theorem 2.2. Let I, a, and f be as above, and suppose that f is continuous at a. Suppose moreover that g is an \mathbb{R} -valued function defined on some D_g containing f(I) (the image of f), and also that g is continuous at f(a). Then the composite function, $g \circ f$, is continuous at a.

Proof. We shall give 3 proofs of this result, for illustrative purposes.

Proof 1. Let $h = g \circ f$. Using limits, and the continuity of g and f, we have

$$\lim_{x \to a} h(x) = \lim_{x \to a} g(f(x)) = g(\lim_{x \to a} f(x)) = g(f(a)) = h(a),$$

which shows that h is continuous at a. Here we have used the continuity of g in the second equality, and the continuity of f in the third.