

## **Richard Rorty versus Bertrand Russell on the correspondence theory of truth.**

Richard Rorty and Bertrand Russell have alternate points of view on the correspondence theory of truth, and their perspectives reflect more extensive philosophical contrasts. We should momentarily frame their positions:

### **Bertrand Russell:**

Russell was a proponent of the correspondence theory of truth. As per this theory, an assertion or conviction is considered valid on the off chance that it corresponds to or precisely addresses the objective realities or reality. In other words, truth involves correspondence among propositions and how the world is.

Russell was concerned with the possibility that propositions or convictions could be unbiasedly valid of misleading, contingent upon whether they precisely portray the situation in the outer world. He accepted that there is a domain of genuine realities, and the objective of information is to depict and correspond to these realities precisely.

### **Richard Rorty:**

Rorty, on the other hand, was a conspicuous figure in the way of thinking of sober mindedness and postmodernism. He was condemning of the correspondence theory of truth. Rorty contended that truth ought not be considered a question of correspondence to an objective reality. All things being equal, he upheld for a more even minded and contextual understanding of truth.