

Income elasticity of Demand

Taxes

Taxes on seller

Messes with the supply curve

\$ amount increases, but we aren't so sure what amount of quantity increases or decreases

Buyers pay the new price where the demand curve intersects where the tax-supply curve

Price buyers pay - tax = Price buyers pay

Taxes act as a supply shifter

Buyers pay more & sellers aren't getting as much money

Taxes shrink the market

Taxes on buyers (e.g. sales tax)

Messes with the demand curve

Shifts quantity demanded down, & the demand curve to the left

Where the new demand curve intersects with the supply curve, that's what the supplier/seller gets

What the buyer actually pays is where the new Quantity demanded taxed intersects the original demand curve

Tax wedge in the market with consumer surplus & producer surplus

CS is area of triangle created by price buyers actually pay to the demand curve

PS is area of triangle created by price sellers pay to the supply curve

Subsidy

Subsidy on sellers

Lowering price for supply raises quantity supplied

Subsidy on buyers

Lowering price for buyer raises quantity supplied

The price for a buyer is on the opposite end of the subsidy wedge from the price for the seller, lying on the demand curve and the supply curve, respectively

Externalities

People in mkt don't consider people outside the mkt

Making self-interested decisions has positive & negative externalities

e.g. education=positive, smoking=negative

Negative externalities

Within the market, supply=Private cost & demand= private value

External cost raises prvt cost curve creating the social cost curve

Social cost=ext. cost + Pvt. Cost

To get from the market quantity to the social quantity, a tax wedge is placed

The tax must be exactly equal to the external cost

Positive externalities

Benefit to society

External benefit rises prvt value curve (demand curve)

Social value= external benefit + prvt value

To get from the market quantity to the social quantity, a subsidy wedge is placed

Subsidy must be exactly equal to the external benefit

Review of types of goods

Rival in consumption (one person's use of good diminish others' use) & Excludable (can prevent someone from using good)

Private goods (food, cars)

Rival consumption & excludable

Common resources (fish in the ocean, oil pools)

Rival consumption, but not excludable

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - E(x))^2}{n}}$$

e.g.

From above where $E(x)=3$

$$\sigma^2 = (2 - 3)^2(0.25) + (3 - 3)^2(0.5) + (4 - 3)^2(0.25)$$

$$= 0.5$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{0.5} = 0.707$$

Risk Pooling

Risk- a state in which multiple outcomes are possible & the likelihood of each possible outcome is known or can be estimated

The higher the chance of an adverse event happening, the higher the expected costs or losses

The lower the chance of an adverse event happening, the lower the expected costs or losses

Focus on expected value of all costs/losses averaged over everyone in group/pool & variability

--> predictability

e.g. Individual losses- expected value & variability

Suppose a stroke may occur with probability 0.01 & would cost \$50,000 to treat

Goal: calculate $E(\text{costs})$ $\text{var}(\text{costs})$ $\text{std dev}(\text{costs})$

x = cost due to stroke

x = either stroke or no stroke, \$50,000 or \$0

$p = 0.01$

$p = 1 - 0.01 = 0.99$

$E(x) = \mu = \bar{x} = \text{avg health cost} = 50,000(0.01) + 0(0.99) = \500

On average, individual is paying \$500

But individual will never pay that since either you have a stroke or not

You do pay the average from the whole population

$\text{Var}(x) = \sigma^2 = \sum (x - \bar{x})^2 p_i = (50,000 - 500)^2(0.01) + (0 - 500)^2(0.99)$

$= 20250000 + 247500 = 24,750,000$

$\text{Var}(x) = 24,750,000 \text{ dollars squared}$

$\text{Std dev}(x) = \sigma = \text{square root of } 24,750,000 = \$4,974.94$

Insurance- costs/losses to insurance

Health insurance company's costs depend on the avg level of annual claims

Insurance co. cares about:

Expected value of AVG claim

Variability of AVG claim

AVG claim lowers health care costs because it decreases the variability

Lots of variability is bad

What we know from Statistics

Variability decreases proportionally with # of people enrolled in plan/group/pool

Variability means variance

$\text{Var}(E(x)) = \text{var}(\bar{x}) = \sigma^2/n = \text{var}(x)/n$

$\text{Std dev}(E(x)) = \text{std dev}(\bar{x}) = \sigma/n$

$E(\bar{x}) = E(x) = \mu$

So avg value of x for the group will be the same as the avg for individuals

e.g.

Same example of as above

Preview from Notesale.co.uk
Page 13 of 17