

have the same goal and are willing to make the health of us humans better even if they have different ways achieving this goal. This is the best way they have influenced science is by working together in different fields to achieve the same goals.

Contrast:

Greenpeace is a non-profitable organisation which means that they have to rely on donations to make an influence in science. For example, if Greenpeace wants to stop animal cruelty around the world, they would need money to setup demonstrations all over the globe and money for equipment and travel. However GSK or MRC already have money through profits so they can get started straightaway with the protests and make a change straightaway by funding the R&D sector in their groups to find new ways.

GlaxoSmithKline is a profitable company which relies on profits to make a change in science. For example, if GSK wants to find a vaccine for AIDs, they can get started straightaway because they have the facilities to look for a cure and they have enough money for R&D from all the profits they make from selling medicines. However if Greenpeace wanted to do this, it would take them a very long time because it would take time to raise the money and find donors. If MRC wanted to do this, they would have to persuade the government for funding and lobby members of parliament to get the funding and if it is going to take time to see results, the government would not consider it because they want change to happen as soon as possible.

Medical Research Council is a government body which is funded by the government and the government makes money mainly through taxation. If MRC wants to influence science, they first have to persuade the government to get funding in a specific area. For example, if MRC wants funding for a medicine which will cure polio, they would first have to convince the government to fund this research and the way they do this is through bias arguments and lobbying. However if Greenpeace was to do this, first of all they would need to get the help from their supporters whether it be from donations or loans and this could take a very long time. On the other hand, if GSK was to pursue this research, they have the resources and money to do this because they generate profits from sales and they already have an R&D department which consists of top researchers.

References: