- The costs of financial distress are the costs arising from bankruptcy or distorted business decision before bankruptcy.
- Value of the firm = Value if all equity financed + PV of tax shield PV of financial distress

#### Example 6

H International Ltd is a shipping firm.

Current Share price: £5.50 Shares outstanding: 10 million

There are plans to lower corporate taxes by borrowing £20 million and repurchasing shares.

 $V_{IJ} = V_{I}$ E = £35m

 $V_u = £5.50 \times 10m = £55m$ Number of shares repurchased:  $V_L = D + E$ £20m debt/£5.50 = 3.636m shares

£55m = £20m + EE / remaining shares

E = £35m£35m / (10m - 3.636m) = £5.50

However, is you suppose that H International Ltd pays 30% in corporate tax.

 $V_L = V_u + Dt$ E = £41m

 $V_{II} = £5.50 \times 10m = £55m$ Number of shares repurchased:

 $Dt = £20m \times 0.30 = £6m$ £20m debt/5.50= 3\_636m E / remaining Sa  $V_1 = £55m + £6m$ 

(16.44 = £6.44 £61m = £20m + E

E = £41m

The only imperfections or sts. The share price rises to £5.75 after the

£6.44 (calculated share price) - £5.75 (actual share price) = £0.69 x 6.363m (remaining shares) = £4.39m £4.39m is the cost of financial distress.

Therefore, the value of the firm is = £55m (all equity financed) + £6m (PV tax shield) - £4.39m (PV financial distress) = £56.61m

 $V_1 = D + E$ 

 $E = £5.75 \times 6.363 \text{m}$  shares = £36.59 m

D = £20m

 $V_1 = £36.59m + £20m = £56.69m$ 

## **Trade-Off Theory**

- The theory that capital structure is based on the trade-off between tax savings and distress costs of debt.
- The Target debt ratios vary from firm to firm, with High tech industries favouring lower tangibles so lower debt, whilst Tangible heavy industries favour higher debt.
- Proposed originally by Kraus and Litzenberger (1973)

Investment Decision: Ignore gearing! Take a geared beta for a company in the same industry as the project, de-gear it. Insert the un-geared beta into CAPM to find un-geared cost of equity. Use the ungeared  $K_{\text{eu}}$  to discount project cash flows.

Financing Decision: Find the PV of financing costs and benefits, discounted at Pre-tax cost of debt.

Typical financing costs: Issue costs on debt and/or equity,

Typical financing benefits: Tax relief on debt interest, Value of a subsidised loan for saving of interest and loss of tax shield on interest saved.

## Example 7

Initial Investment: £400,000 (No residual value) Annual net cash flows AT over 3 years: £175,000

20% of the initial investment will be equity (£400,000 \* 20%) = £80,000

40% will be financed by subsidised loan at 5% (£400,000 \* 40%) = £160,000

Interest rate payments (5% of £160,000) = £8,000

40% financed by loan at rate of 9% (£400,000 \* 40%) = £160,000

Interest rate payments (9% of £160,000) = £14,400

Issue costs for equity expected to be 3%, debt issue costs is zero. (3% of £80,000) = £2,400

Equity beta: 1.7 Avg debt:equity ratio: 1:3 RFR: 5% (AT) MR: 13% (AT)

Corporate tax: 30%

Step 1: Calculate base case NPV @ Key

 $\beta_{e,u} = \beta_{e,g} (V/(V_E + V_D (1 - T)))$ 

 $\beta_{e,u} = 1.70(\text{N}(0.12\text{N})) = 1.38$  0.1005 + 1.38(0.12N) $K_{eu} = r_f + \beta_u (r_m - r_f)$ 

rear	- CV	Cash flow (£-000)	DF @ 16%	PV (£ 000)
0	blo.	(40)	1	(400)
1		175	0.862	150.85
2		175	0.743	130.025
3		175	0.641	112.175
				(6.95)

Step 2: Financing costs of Equity and Debt, including Tax relief gained @ Pre-tax cost of debt (3 year annuity)

PV of Issue costs and Interest rate payments above.

PV of tax shield on normal loan interest, Tax relief gained: £14,400 \* 0.30 = £4,320

£4,320 \* 2.531 (3 year annuity at 9%) = £10,934

DIT (CIOOO)

PV of tax shield on subsidised loan interest, Tax relief gained: £8,000 \* 0.30 = £2,400

£2,400 \* 2.531 (3 year annuity **at 9%**) = £6,074

Step 3: Interest saved on Subsidised loan and tax relief lost on the interest saved.

Interest saved: £14,400 - £8,000 = £6,400

£6,400 \* 2.531 (3 year annuity at 9%) = £16,198

Tax relief lost: £16,198 \* 0.30 = £4,680

# Stock Market anomalies and Competing theoretical views

# The January effect

- Stocks that underperform in the 4th quarter, over compensate and outperform the market in January of the following year.
- Usually because the company may sell poorly performing stock to offset losses for tax purposes in the 4th quarter.
- The selling may drive down prices to such an extent that it becomes attract in the following month.

# Day of the week effect

- Research suggests that stocks move more on Fridays than Mondays, and that movements tend to be more positive on Friday than Monday.
- Closing prices on Monday tend to be lower than on Friday.
- Although movement is small, it does exist. There appears to be no reason other than behavioural.

# Turn of the month effect

Stock prices rise on the last day of the month and first three days of the following reach.

Rolls-Royce May to Sept 2014	May	June	July C	58/6.	September
Turn of the month	2.61%	-0.84%	1.7.29%	26%	-1.60%
Rest of the month	0.78%	B 198	-0.94%	0.35%	-5.61%

# Calend Disessonal anomalies D 39

- Represent one of the most important existing anomalies in the markets.
- These excess-returns cannot be captured by equilibrium asset pricing models such as CAPM.
- Can be exploited by rational investors to gain above-average returns.
- These anomalies violate weak-form efficiency and random walk hypothesis.

## Small size and Value effect

- Smaller size equals smaller capitalisation. Studies found that smaller firm's shares
  outperformed, due to the fact that a large company needs to find billions extra to grow 10%,
  whilst a small company may only need a few million to grow at the same rate.
- Low price-earnings ratio shares generally generate abnormal returns, there is a dispute whether it is the small size-effect that is really being observed.
- Investors place emphasis on short term earnings data, because unusually cheap stocks attract investors and then encounter mean reversion.