EMPLOYMENT – EXPRESS AND IMPLIED TERMS

COMMON EXPRESS TERMS

- *suspend without pay
- *PILON (pay in lieu of notice)
- *Garden leave
- *Mobility clause
- *Summary dismissal
- *Email and internet

MOBILITY CLAUSES -what is

reasonable at time contract was signed *Briggs v ICI

- *Rank Xerox v Churchill
- *United Bank v Akhtar
- *White v Reflecting Roadstuds Ltd (bound by terms of contract - for employer/employee to negotiate at time of construction of the contract. Seen as unfair decision as negotiating power is more weighted in favour of employer)

CONSTRUCTION OF EXPRESS TERMS

- *Investors' Compensation Scheme Ltd v West Bromwich Building Society [1998]
- Lord Hoffman's 5 stage test:
- 1.Reasonable person having the background knowledge
- 2.Background to have been reasonably available to the parties
- 3. Excluding previous negotiations
- 4. The meaning of the document being a combination of background and words 5.As far as possible, words should be given their natural and ordinary meaning.

Preview

IMPLIED TERMS

*Statute; custom and practice; officious bystander; business efficacy.

Statute: notice

- *Statutory minimums s.86 ERA 96: 1 month 2 years continuous $E^{mt} = 1$ week; 2+ years = 1 week for each continuous year (max 12 weeks) *Payment in Lieu can also be implied into COE
- -Rex Stewart Jeffries Parker Ginsberg Ltd v Parker
- *Equal pay
- *Minimum wage

Methods of implication

- *Custom and Practice: "reasonable, certain and notorious." Knowledge? Sagar v Ridehalgh & Son Ltd (found that custom of deducting wages re produce was found to be implied in by custom); Meek v Port of London Authority
- *Officious Bystander Test: Shirlaw v Southern Foundaries (1926) Ltd -so obvious that it goes without saying.
- *Business Efficiency Reigate v Union Manufacturing Co Ltd necessary in the business sense to give efficiency to the contract.

Duties owed by employer

- *Pay; provide work; health references
- *duty to provide work clause, so CA refuse no expres injunction as with available work

Duti

- *Personal service; reasonable skill, diligence and care; good faith and confidence; obey lawful orders
- *Duties owed by both employer and employee -trust and confidence

GARDEN LEAVE

- *Employee resigns
- *Pay and contractual benefits continue through notice period
- *Employee is not permitted to attend the workplace
- *Employee is bound by the implied duty of fidelity

CONFIDENTIAL INFO

- *Restraint of trade: an employee's freedom to take employment as and when he wishes; an employer's interests in preserving certain aspects of his business from disclosure or exploitation by an employee/ex emplovee
- *During employment implied duty of fidelity includes duty of confidence and prohibits disclose of: trade secrets; other confidential info.
- *After employment implied duty if narrower: not to disclose confidential info, which is a trade secret or akin to a trade secret
- *After employment: the Faccenda chicken case.
- *Express confidentiality clauses Probably won't protect anything other than the trade secret but: may help to evidence the fact that the information was impressed as Confidential Information; more of a deterrent

RESTRICTION

- red and unenforceable unless: they protect the legitimate interests of the business; and 2.they go no further than reasonably necessary to protect that interest - Nordenfelt
- *Can include non compete; non dealing; non solicitation; non poaching
- *Reasonableness factors: time, needs/interests of business; geography; employee's duties; proportionality. All of these comes into tribunal's deliberations.
- *Time- duration of the restraint: one year more likely to be upheld, however over a yr maybe fine depending on profession; beware knock on effects of garden leave clauses
- *Geography ties in with nature of work; nationwide or global restrictions unlikely to be upheld if the work is regional; Greer v Sketchley Ltd; Kerr v Morris; Office Angels Ltd v Rainer-Thomas and O'Connor (appeared a short geographical spread, but as the business was in city centre, 1000metre radius was held to be unreasonable); Fitch v Dewes
- *Employee's duties Marley Tile Co Ltd v Johnson
- *Needs/interests of business Bromley v Smith; Kores Manufacturing Co v Kolok Manufacturing Co; Littlewoods Organisation Ltd v Harris; Office Angels Ltd v Rainer-Thomas and O'Connor. Tribunals are more focused on it being more specific as opposed to a broad approach of not being able to work in the industry altogether.
- *Blue pencil test: Ct may strike out unenforceable part so that remainder is valid; only if it makes sense; ct will not re-write clause to make it valid: Home Counties Dairies Ltd v Skilton; Mason v Provident Clothing and Supply Co Ltd *wrongful dismissal makes RC invalid.

REMEDIES - *Damages, injunction, springboard injunction